

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 HEIDI KELLERHALS,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 MAIRA RUELAS, *et al.*,

15 Defendants.
16
17
18

Case No. 1:20-cv-01279-NONE-EPG

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS***

(ECF No. 2)

19 Plaintiff, Heidi Kellerhals, is proceeding *pro se* in this action. (ECF No. 1.) On September
20 8, 2020, Plaintiff submitted an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (ECF No. 2.) Plaintiff
21 has made the requisite showing under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Accordingly, her application to
22 proceed *in forma pauperis* is GRANTED.

23 As to the status of the complaint, Plaintiff is advised that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
24 1915(e)(2), the Court must conduct an initial review of a *pro se* complaint filed *in forma pauperis*
25 to determine whether it is legally sufficient under the applicable pleading standards. The Court
26 must dismiss a complaint, or portion thereof, if the Court determines that the complaint is legally
27 frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary
28 relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). If the Court

determines that the complaint fails to state a claim, leave to amend may be granted to the extent that the deficiencies in the complaint can be cured by amendment. The complaint will be screened in due course and Plaintiff will be served with the resulting order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 10, 2020

/s/ Eric P. Grogan
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE